Scenario Assessment Form Station 4: COMMUNICATION SKILLS & ETHICS

Each pair of examiners should jointly complete one Scenario Assessment Form per scenario. This form should be returned to the College, by the host, to enable a bank of high quality scenarios to be developed. **PLEASE COMPLETE ALL SECTIONS OF THE FORM**

Hospital Centre No. Country Good Adequate Poor Please give an overall rating for the scenario:	Scenario No.		Examiner Nos.			
Good Adequate Poor Please give an overall rating for the scenario:	Hospital		Centre No.			
Please give an overall rating for the scenario	Country					
Please rate each section of the scenario on the following factors: If awarding an 'adequate' or 'poor' rating please elaborate in the box below Information for the candidate Good Adequate Poor Information for the candidate Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Comprehensiveness Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Comprehensiveness Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility Image: Credibility<			Good	Adequate	Poor	
If awarding an 'adequate' or 'poor' rating please elaborate in the box below Good Adequate Poor Information for the candidate	Please give an overall rating for the scenario:					
Good Adequate Poor Information for the candidate	Please rate each section of the scenario on the following factors:					
Information for the candidate - Clarity	If awarding an 'adequate' or 'poor' rating please elaborate in the box below					
Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the surrogate Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Clarity Comprehensiveness Comprehensivenes Consistency Consistency Consistency Consistency Con			Good	Adequate	Poor	
Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the surrogate Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Clarity Comprehensiveness Clarity Comprehensiveness Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Consprehensiveness Comprehensiveness Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Was this scenario useful for testing: Yes No i) Communication skills ii) Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No igood, duty to do no harm, legal aspects etc.) Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: Consistency Good Adequate Poor Poor Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor						
Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the surrogate Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Clarity Comprehensiveness Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Clarity Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Comprehensiveness Relevance Relevance Relevan	-					
- Relevance						
Information for the surrogate - Clarity - Credibility - Credibility - Comprehensiveness - Relevance Information for the examiners	•					
- Clarity - Credibility - Comprehensiveness - Relevance Information for the examiners - Clarity - Clarity - Clarity - Credibility - Comprehensiveness - Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Was this scenario useful for testing: i) Communication skills ii) Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No good, duty to do no harm, legal aspects etc.) Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: - - Consistency Good Adequate Poor - Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below	– Relevanc	e				
- Clarity - Credibility - Comprehensiveness - Relevance Information for the examiners - Clarity - Clarity - Clarity - Credibility - Comprehensiveness - Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Was this scenario useful for testing: i) Communication skills ii) Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No good, duty to do no harm, legal aspects etc.) Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: - - Consistency Good Adequate Poor - Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below	Information for the surrogate					
Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Clarity Credibility Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Was this scenario useful for testing: Yes No Jofficult Ves No Sod Adequate Poor Appropriateness Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below	-					
Comprehensiveness Relevance Information for the examiners Clarity Credibility Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Was this scenario useful for testing: Yes No Jo Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: Consistency Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below						
 Relevance Information for the examiners Clarity Credibility Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Was this scenario useful for testing: Yes No i) Communication skills ii) Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: Consistency Good Adequate Poor Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below 						
 Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Umage: Difficult of testing: Yes No Communication skills Communication skills Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: Consistency Good Adequate Poor Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below 						
 Clarity Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Umage: Difficult of testing: Yes No Communication skills Communication skills Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: Consistency Good Adequate Poor Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below 	Information for the examiners					
 Credibility Comprehensiveness Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Was this scenario useful for testing: Yes No Communication skills ii) Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No good, duty to do no harm, legal aspects etc.) Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: Consistency Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below 						
 Comprehensiveness Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Was this scenario useful for testing: Yes No Communication skills Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No good, duty to do no harm, legal aspects etc.) Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: Consistency Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below 		- M				
 Relevance Relevance How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Difficult Was this scenario useful for testing: Yes No Communication skills Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No Bethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: Consistency Good Adequate Poor Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below 						
How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult Was this scenario useful for testing: Yes No Image: No I	•					
Was this scenario useful for testing: Yes No i) Communication skills No ii) Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No good, duty to do no harm, legal aspects etc.) No Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: - Consistency Good Adequate Poor - Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below	Kelevane					
 i) Communication skills ii) Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No good, duty to do no harm, legal aspects etc.) Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: Consistency Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below 	How was the difficulty for candidates? Too easy Appropriate Difficult			Difficult		
 ii) Ethics (Respect for patient's autonomy, duty to do Yes No good, duty to do no harm, legal aspects etc.) Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: Consistency Good Adequate Poor Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below 		_	Yes	No 🗌		
good, duty to do no harm, legal aspects etc.) Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance: - Consistency Good Adequate Poor - Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below	•		.,			
 Consistency Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Adequate Poor Poor 			Yes	NO 🔄		
 Consistency Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Adequate Poor Poor 	Please rate the following aspects of the surrogate's performance:					
- Appropriateness (e.g. displaying emotion) Good Adequate Poor Poor			_	equate 🗌	Poor	
Please provide any other comments about this scenario or suggested improvements in the box below						
(continue overleaf if necessary):	Please provide an	y other comments about this scenario	_		he box below	



