JRCPTB

Joint Royal Colleges of Physicians Training Board

Rough Guide to Implementation
Gastroenterology Curriculum
Guidance for training programme directors, supervisors and trainees

August 2022

ROYAL COLLEGE of PHYSICIANS of EDINBURGH

ROYAL COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF GLASGOW

Royal College of Physicians

Contents

Introduction 3
What is different about the 2022 Gastroenterology curriculum? 3
The Gastroenterology curriculum 4
Capabilities in Practice (CiPs) 4
Assessment: What is required from trainees and trainers? 7
Types of Evidence 10
Induction Meeting with ES: Planning the training year 13
Induction Meeting with Clinical Supervisor (CS) 14
Professional Development Meetings 15
Transition arrangements for trainees already in programme 15
Trainees who have come from alternative entry pathways ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
Annual Review of Competence Progression (ARCP) 16
ARCP Decision Aid for Gastroenterology 20
Training programme 25
Training resources links ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
Glossary of abbreviations 30

Introduction

This guide is to help training programme directors (TPDs), supervisors, trainees and others with the practicalities of implementing the new curriculum. It is intended to supplement rather than replace the curriculum document itself. The curriculum, ARCP decision aid and this guide are available on the JRCPTB website.

The Rough Guide has been put together by members of the SAC with additional help from many external stakeholders especially trainees. It is intended to be a 'living document' and we value feedback via curriculum@jrcptb.org.uk.

What is different about the 2022 curriculum?

Background

There have been two major drives to the need for change. Firstly, the move away from the 'tick-box' approach associated with the current competency-based curricula to the holistic assessment of high-level learning outcomes. The new curriculum has a relatively small number of 'capabilities in practice' (CIPs) which are based on the concept of entrustable professional activities (EPAs). Secondly, the GMC has mandated that all postgraduate curricula must incorporate the essential generic capabilities required by all doctors as defined in the Generic Professional Capabilities (GPC) framework.

The Internal Medicine clinical CiPs have been embedded in the specialty curriculum and all CiPs are mapped to the GPCs.

There will be a critical progression point at the end of the second year of training. In the final part of the programme, more focused training in one specific complex module (either hepatology or luminal gastroenterology) will then continue alongside completion of core gastroenterology competencies and continued exposure to emergency general gastroenterology and internal medicine. Most of the trainees (around 80%) will complete the training module in luminal gastroenterology including competence in colonoscopy in accordance with service need. A luminal gastroenterologist will have additional experience in the management of inflammatory bowel disease and nutrition and will see patients with luminal problems in outpatients. They will be the major contributors to the diagnostic and therapeutic endoscopy service for the hospital.

Those training in hepatology (in designated posts, as at present) will be equipped to deal with the care of patients with more complex liver disease, who currently constitute a large proportion of in-patients in the specialty. The numbers of hepatology trainees required will need to be determined locally. The number of training posts in hepatology required varies geographically in the UK and national recruitment to the designated hepatology training posts allows applications from regions with few hepatologists and hepatology training opportunities and is aimed at increasing the number of consultants with experience of

complex hepatology to meet the service requirements for consultants throughout the four nations.

Duration of training

Gastroenterology higher specialty training and Internal Medicine stage 2 training will usually be completed in 4 years of full-time training. There will be options for those trainees who demonstrate exceptionally rapid development and acquisition of capabilities to complete training sooner than the indicative time. There may also be trainees who develop more slowly and will require an extension of training as indicated in the Reference Guide for Postgraduate Specialty Training in the UK (The Gold Guide).

The 2022 Gastroenterology curriculum

The purpose of the curriculum is to produce doctors with the generic professional and specialty specific capabilities required to practice in Gastroenterology and Internal Medicine.

Doctors in training will learn in a variety of settings using a range of methods, including workplace-based experiential learning, formal postgraduate teaching and simulation-based education.

By the end of their final year of training, the trainee will receive a dual CCT in Gastroenterology and Internal Medicine. There will no longer be an option to gain a CCT in Gastroenterology alone.

Capabilities in Practice (CiPs)

The **generic CiPs** cover the universal requirements of all specialties as described in the GMC's General Professional Capabilities (GPC) framework. The generic CiPs are common across all physician specialties. Assessment of the generic CiPs will be underpinned by the GPC descriptors. Satisfactory sign off will indicate that there are no concerns.

The **clinical CiPs** describe the capabilities required for Internal Medicine. The **specialty CiPs** describe the professional tasks or work within the scope of Gastroenterology.

Each CiP has a set of descriptors associated with that activity or task. Descriptors are intended to help trainees and trainers recognise the minimum level of knowledge, skills and attitudes which should be demonstrated for an entrustment decision to be made.

By the completion of training and award of CCT, the doctor must demonstrate that they are capable of unsupervised practice (level 4) in all clinical and specialty CiPs.

Capabilities in practice (CiPs)

Generic CiPs

1. Able to successfully function within NHS organisational and management systems
2. Able to deal with ethical and legal issues related to clinical practice
3. Communicates effectively and is able to share decision making, while maintaining appropriate situational awareness, professional behaviour and professional judgement
4. Is focussed on patient safety and delivers effective quality improvement in patient care
5. Carrying out research and managing data appropriately
6. Acting as a clinical teacher and clinical supervisor to be assessed by DOPS

Internal Medicine Clinical CiPs

1. Managing an acute unselected take
2. Managing the acute care of patients within a medical specialty service
3. Providing continuity of care to medical inpatients, including management of comorbidities and cognitive impairment
4. Managing patients in an outpatient clinic, ambulatory or community setting, including management of long-term conditions
5. Managing medical problems inpatients in other specialties and special cases
6. Managing a multi-disciplinary team including effective discharge planning
7. Delivering effective resuscitation and managing the acutely deteriorating patient
8. Managing end of life and applying palliative care skills

Gastroenterology Specialty CiPs

1. Managing care of Gastroenterology and Hepatology in-patients
2. Managing care of Gastroenterology and Hepatology patients in an out-patient environment
3. Managing care of patients with complex disease across multiple care settings, including interaction with primary care and community networks
4. Managing care pathways for patients with suspected and confirmed gastrointestinal and hepatic malignancy
5. The ability to practice diagnostic and therapeutic upper GI endoscopy and in other practical skills undertaken/overseen by a Gastroenterology Consultant
6. Contributing to the prevention of gastrointestinal and liver disease

Gastroenterology Specialty CiPs (themed for service)

Trainees will complete one additional higher-level outcome from the list below according to service theme. For academic trainees appropriate timetabling will facilitate integration of this training with academic research and capability-based clinical assessment.

1. Managing complex problems in luminal gastroenterology
2. Managing complex problems in hepatology

Please see the curriculum for details of each CiP.

Evidence of capability

The curriculum describes the evidence that can be used by the educational supervisor to make a judgement of the trainee's capability (please see the CiPs tables and the assessment blueprint). The educational supervisor will make a holistic judgement based on the evidence provided, particularly the feedback from clinical supervisors and the multi-disciplinary team. The list of evidence for each CiP is not exhaustive and other evidence may be equally valid.

Presentations and Conditions

The curriculum provides guidance on the presentations and conditions which form the clinical context in which the capabilities are demonstrated. The presentation and conditions listed are either common or serious and trainees will be expected to know about all of these presentations and conditions, but they will not need to be signed off for individual items.

Practical Procedures

The curriculum and ARCP decision aid list the practical procedures required and the minimum level of competency. Endoscopy is a critical part of a Gastroenterologist's practice and acquisition of endoscopic competencies will need to be supported within the demands of other aspects of training. It is recommended that endoscopy training in both upper and lower GI endoscopy should commence early with initial formal induction through attendance at a basic skills course. Early simulation and subsequent early immersive training for limited periods, has been shown to accelerate acquisition of endoscopic competencies, and this is a workstream currently being developed by Endoscopy academies. Training programmes are expected to provide trainees with a minimum of one endoscopy training list per week and an additional list which could be a service list.

All trainees will be expected to achieve competency sign off for upper GI diagnostic endoscopy by the end of the second training year. Trainees will also be expected to gain competency in common therapeutic endoscopic interventions particularly endoscopic management of upper GI bleeding which is an essential part of a gastroenterologist practice. This can be supported by participation in a GI bleeding rota for an indicative minimum period of 6 months during ST6 or ST7 and by the optional attendance at approved training courses in therapeutic and GI bleeding endoscopy. Additionally, all luminal stream trainees will be expected to have achieved colonoscopy competency before CCT. Hepatology stream trainees are not expected
to continue with colonoscopy training beyond ST5. Where there is delay in acquisition of endoscopic competencies an extension of training time may be offered at the discretion of the ARCP panel.

Once a trainee is competent to perform a non-endoscopic procedure unsupervised (as evidenced by summative DOPS) there is no requirement for further assessment. It is a matter of professional insight and probity that a trainee should maintain their competency by carrying out the procedure when the opportunity arises. If a trainee has not performed a particular procedure for some time and no longer feels confident or competent to carry it out, then they should seek further training with appropriate supervision. Trainers should have ongoing conversation with trainees about procedural competence and this should be documented.

Assessment: What is required from trainees and trainers?

Introduction

Decisions about a trainee's competence progression will be based on an assessment of how they are achieving their CiPs. For the generic CiPs it will be a straightforward statement as to whether they are operating at, above, or below level expected for the current year of training. For the IM clinical and specialty CiPs there will be a judgement made at what level of supervision they require (i.e. unsupervised or with direct or indirect supervision). For each of these CiP there is a level that is to be achieved at the end of each year in order for a standard outcome to be achieved at the Annual Review of Competence Progression (ARCP). The levels expected are given in the grid below and in the ARCP decision aid.

What the trainee needs to do

The requirements for supervised learning events (SLEs) and workplace-based assessments (WPBAs) are documented in the ARCP decision aid (see ARCP section below) but it should be appreciated by trainer and trainee that the decision aid sets out the absolute minimums. SLEs and formative DOPS are not pass/fail summative assessments but should be seen by both trainer and trainee as planned formative learning opportunities for a trainee to have one to one teaching and receive helpful and supportive feedback from an experienced senior doctor and not a retrospective form-filling exercise taking place after a clinical episode. Trainees should therefore be seeking to have SLEs performed as often as practical. They also must continue to attend and document their teaching sessions and must continue to reflect (and record that reflection) on teaching sessions, clinical incidents and any other situations that would aid their professional development.

Each trainee must ensure that they have acquired multi-source feedback (MSF) on their performance each year and that this feedback has been discussed with their Educational Supervisor (ES) and prompted appropriate reflection. They also need to ensure that they have received the minimum number of reports from consultants who are familiar with their work and who will contribute to the Multiple Consultant Report (MCR). Each consultant contributing to the MCR will give an advisory statement about the level at which they assess the trainee to be functioning for each clinical CiP.

As the ARCP approaches, trainees need to arrange to see their ES to facilitate preparation of the ES report (ESR). They will have to self-assess the level at which they feel they are operating at for each CiP. In an analogous fashion to the MSF, this self- assessment allows the ES to see if the trainee's views are in accord with those of the trainers and will give an idea of the trainee's level of insight.

Interaction between trainer and trainee

Regular interaction between trainees and their trainers is critical to the trainee's development and progress through the programme. Trainees will need to engage with their clinical and educational supervisors.

At the beginning of the academic year there should be a meeting with the ES to map out a training plan for the year. This should include;

- how to meet the training requirements of the programme, addressing each CiP separately
- a plan for taking the Specialty Certificate Examination (SCE)
- a discussion about resources available to help with the programme
- develop a set of SMART Personal Development Plans (PDPs) for the training year
- a plan for using study leave
- use of the various assessment/development tools

The trainee should also meet with the clinical supervisor (CS) to discuss the opportunities in the current placement including;

- develop a PDP including SMART objectives for the placement
- access to clinics and how to meet the learning objectives
- expectations for on-call
- expectations for inpatient experience
- expectations to gain experience in end-of-life care

Depending on local arrangements there should be regular meetings for personalised, professional development discussions which will include;

- writing and updating the PDP
- reviewing reflections and SLEs
- reviewing MCR and other feedback
- discussing leadership development
- discussing the trainee's development as a physician and career goals
- discussing things that went well or things that went not so well

Self-assessment

Trainees are required to undertake a self-assessment of their engagement with the curriculum and in particular, the CiPs. This is not a 'one-off' event but should be a continuous process from induction to the completion of the programme and is particularly important to have been updated ahead of the writing of the ES report and subsequent

ARCP. Self-assessment for each of the CiPs should be recorded against the curriculum on the trainee's ePortfolio account.

The purpose of asking trainees to undertake this activity is:

- To guide trainees in completing what is required of them by the curriculum and helping to maintain focus of their own development. To initiate the process, it is important that the induction meeting with a trainee's ES reviews how the trainee will use the opportunities of the coming academic year to best advantage in meeting the needs of the programme. It will allow them to reflect on how to tailor development to their own needs, over-and-above the strict requirements laid out in the curriculum
- To guide the ES and the ARCP panel as to how the trainee considers they have demonstrated the requirements of the curriculum as set out in the Decision Aid and where this evidence may be found in the trainee's portfolio. This will help the ARCP panel make a more informed judgement as to the trainee's progress and reduce the issuing of outcome 5s because of the result of evidence not being available or found by the panel

What the Educational Supervisor (ES) needs to do

The educational supervisor and trainee should meet beforehand to plan what evidence will need to be obtained. This can be used by the ES to write an important and substantial ES report (ESR).

The ESR will be the central piece of evidence considered by the ARCP Panel when assessing whether the trainee has attained the required standard as set out in the Decision Aid. As such, both time and planning will need to be given to writing it; this process will need to start at the beginning of the training year.

Educational Supervisor Report (ESR)

The ESR should be written ahead of the ARCP and discussed between the supervisor and the trainee before the ARCP, with any aspects likely to result in a non-standard outcome at ARCP made clear. This conversation should be documented. The report documents the entrustment decisions made by the supervisor for all the CiPs set out in the curriculum. The decisions should be based on evidence gathered across the training year as planned at the Induction meeting with the trainee and modified through subsequent, regular, professional development meetings. The evidence should be gathered from several sources as appropriate for the particular CiP.

In completing the ESR, assessments are made for each **generic CiP** using the following anchor statements:

Below expectations for this year of training; may not meet the requirements for critical progression point
Meeting expectations for this year of training; expected to progress to next stage of training

Above expectations for this year of training; expected to progress to next stage of training

It is good practice to narrate all decisions and comments should be made for all outcomes. The narration should include;

- Source of the evidence and its context, outlining contradicting evidence if appropriate
- Examples (of statements)
- Direction for future development/improvement

For the **IM clinical** and **specialty CiPs**, the ES makes a judgement using the levels of entrustment in the table below.

Level 1: Entrusted to observe only – no provision of clinical care
Level 2: Entrusted to act with direct supervision: The trainee may provide clinical care, but the supervising physician is physically within the hospital or other site of patient care and is immediately available if required to provide direct bedside supervision
Level 3: Entrusted to act with indirect supervision: The trainee may provide clinical care when the supervising physician is not physically present within the hospital or other site of patient care, but is available by means of telephone and/or electronic media to provide advice, and can attend at the bedside if required to provide direct supervision
Level 4: Entrusted to act unsupervised

Only the ES makes entrustment decisions. Detailed comments must be given to support entrustment decisions that are below the level expected. As above, it is good practice to provide a narrative for all ratings given.

Important Points

- Plan the evidence strategy from the beginning of the training year
- Write the report in good time ahead of the ARCP
- Discuss the ESR with the trainee before the ARCP
- Give specific, examples and directive narration for each entrustment decision
- Where the ES has not worked with the trainee and has inadequate information to make a decision, based on the available SLEs, a discussion with clinical supervisors or local faculty group should be sought to enable a well-informed decision on level of entrustment.

Types of Evidence

Local Faculty Groups (LFG)

This type of group has been recommended in training previously but is not universally implemented. If available this should be a group of senior clinicians (medical and non-

medical) who get together to discuss trainees' progress. The purpose is not only, to provide an assessment for the trainee but to determine and plan on-going training. It is recommended again as an optimal way of providing information about trainees' progress.

The LFG set-up will depend on the circumstances of the organisation. In smaller units the LFG make include all the physicians; while in larger units there may be several LFGs, each in a different department. In all circumstances, as a minimum, an LFG must be able to consider, direct and report on the performance of trainees in the acute medicine/on-call setting.

The LFG should meet regularly to consider the progress of each trainee and identify training needs, putting in place direction as to how these needs are to be met. This should be documented and communicated to trainee's Educational Supervisor and hence to the trainee. A mechanism for this to happen should be established.

Multi-Source Feedback (MSF)

The MSF provides feedback on the trainee that covers areas such as communication and team working. It closely aligns to the Generic CiPs. Feedback should be discussed with the trainee. If a repeat MSF is required, it should be undertaken in the subsequent placement.

Multiple Consultant Report (MCR)

The MCR captures the views of consultant (and other senior staff) based on observation of a trainee's performance in practice. The MCR feedback gives valuable insight into how well the trainee is performing, highlighting areas of excellence and areas of support required.

The ***minimum*** number of MCRs considered necessary is set out in the ARCP decision aid.

Consultant supervisors completing the MCR will use the global anchor statements [meets, below or above expectations] to give feedback on areas of clinical practice. If it is not possible for an individual to give a rating for one or more area they should record 'not observed'. Comments must be made, as a minimum, for any rating of below expectation. It is good practice to narrate all decisions. The narration should include:

- Source of the evidence and its context, outlining contradicting evidence if appropriate
- Examples (of statements)
- Direction for future development/improvement

Supervised Learning Events

Acute Care Assessment Tool (ACAT)/ Outpatient Care Assessment Tool

The ACAT is used to provide feedback on a trainee's performance when undertaking acute care, particularly the acute medical take. Its main focus is on multi- tasking, prioritisation and organisational skills. It should not be used to produce a "multiple Case Based Discussion". Each ACAT should cover the care of a minimum of five patients. OPCAT is used

in a similar fashion for out-patient care. It is recommended that each trainee completes a minimum of one ACAT and one OPCAT in each year of training

Case based Discussion (CbD)

This tool is designed to provide feedback on discussions around elements of the care of a particular patient. This can include elements of the particular case and the general management of the condition. It is a good vehicle to discuss management decisions.

Mini-Clinical Evaluation (mini-CEX)

This tool is designed to allow feedback on the directly observed management of a patient and can focus on the whole case or specific aspects of interest.

Workplace-Based Assessments

Direct Observation of Procedural Skill (DOPS)

This tool is designed to give feedback and assessment for trainees on how they have undertaken a procedural skill. This may be in a simulated or real environment. Formative DOPS may be undertaken as many times as the trainee and supervisor feel is necessary. A trainee can be signed off as able to perform a procedure unsupervised using the summative DOPS. The majority of trainees will be registered with JETS for their endoscopic training and will be expected to link their DOPS with their endoscopy portfolio .

Teaching Observation (TO)

The TO form is designed to provide structured, formative feedback to trainees on their competences at teaching. The TO form can be based on any instance of formalised teaching by the trainee which has been observed by the assessor. The process should be trainee-led (identifying appropriate teaching sessions and assessors).

Quality Improvement Project Assessment Tool (QIPAT)

The QIPAT is designed to assess a trainee's competence in completing a quality improvement project. The QIPAT can be based on a review of quality improvement documentation or on a presentation of the quality improvement project at a meeting. If possible, the trainee should be assessed on the quality improvement project by more than one assessor.

Guidance on how to assess QI skills and behaviours has been developed by the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges and is available via this link.

Examination

Trainees are required to pass the European Specialty Examination in Gastroenterology and Hepatology (ESEGH) by completion of training to be awarded the CCT. Information is available on the MRCP(UK) website www.mrcpuk.org.

Reflection

Undertaking regular reflection is an important part of trainee development towards becoming a self-directed professional learner. Through reflection a trainee should develop SMART learning objectives related to the situation discussed. These should be subsequently incorporated into their PDP. Reflections are also useful to develop 'self-knowledge' to help trainees deal with challenging situations.

It is important to reflect on situations that went well in addition to those that went not so well. Trainees should be encouraged to reflect on their learning opportunities and not just clinical events

Suggested evidence for each CiP

The suggested evidence to inform entrustment decisions is listed for each CiP in the curriculum and ePortfolio. However, it is critical that trainers appreciate that trainees do not need to present every piece of evidence listed and the list is not exhaustive and other evidence may be equally valid.

Induction Meeting with ES: Planning the training year

Writing the ESR essentially starts with the induction meeting with the trainee at which the training year should be planned. The induction meeting between the ES and the trainee is pivotal to the success of the training year. It is the beginning of the training relationship between the two and needs both preparation and time. The induction meeting should be recorded formally in the trainee's ePortfolio. The meeting should be pre-planned and undertaken in a private setting where both can concentrate on the planning of the training year. This is also a time for ES and trainee to start to get to know each other.

Ahead of the meeting review:

- Review Transfers of Information on the trainee
- Review previous ES, ARCP etc. reports if available
- Agree with the placement CSs how other support meetings will be arranged. Including;
  - Arrangements for Local Faculty Groups or equivalent
  - Arrangements for professional development meetings

At the meeting the following need to be considered:

- Review the placements for the year
- Review the training year elements of the generic educational work schedule or its equivalent
- Construct the personalised educational work schedule for the year or its equivalent
- Construct the annual PDP and relevant training courses
- Discuss the trainee's career plans and help facilitate these
- Discuss the use of reflection and make an assessment of how the trainee uses reflection and dynamic PDPs

- Discuss the teaching programme
- Discuss procedural simulation
- Discuss procedural skill consolidation
- Discuss arrangements for LTFT training if appropriate
- Plan additional meetings including the professional development meetings and the interaction with the placement CSs
- Planning of SLEs and WPBA
- Arrangements for MSF
- Review the ARCP decision aid
- Arrangements for Interim Review of Competence Progression (IRCP)
- Arrangements for ARCP and the writing and discussion of the ESR
- Pastoral support
- Arrangements for reporting of concerns
- Plan study leave

At the end of the meeting the trainee should have a clear plan for providing the evidence needed by the ES to make the required entrustment decisions.

Important Points

- Prepare for the meeting
- Make sure that knowledge of the curriculum is up-to-date
- Set up a plan for the training year

Induction Meeting with Clinical Supervisor (CS)

The trainee should also have an induction meeting with their placement CS (who may also be their ES). The meeting should be pre-planned and undertaken in a private setting where both can concentrate on the planning of the placement. This is also a time for CS and trainee to start to get to know each other.

Ahead of the meeting review the following should be considered;

- Review Transfers of Information on the trainee
- Review previous ES, ARCP etc. reports if available
- Arrangements for LFGs or equivalent

The following areas will need to be discussed, some of which will reinforce areas already covered by the ES but in the setting of the current placement:

- Review the training placement elements of the generic educational work schedule or its equivalent
- Construct the personalized educational work schedule for the placement or its equivalent
- Construct the set of placement-level SMART objectives in the PDP

- Discuss the use of reflection and make an assessment of how the trainee uses reflection and dynamic PDPs
- Discuss procedural skill consolidation
- Discuss arrangements for LTFT training if appropriate
- Plan additional meetings including professional development meetings and the interaction with the placement CSs (depending on whether the ES or CS will be undertaking these)
- Arrangements for MSF
- Review the ARCP decision aid
- Pastoral support
- Arrangements for reporting of concerns
- Plan study leave

Professional Development Meetings

Trainers and trainees need to meet regularly across the training year. The GMC recommend an hour per week is made available for this activity. While it is not expected or possible for it to be an hour every week, the time not used for these meetings can be used to participate in LFG and ARCPs etc.

These meetings are important and should cover the following areas. This list is not exhaustive. Meet away from the clinical area regularly to:

- Discuss cases
- Provide feedback
- Monitor progress of learning objectives
- Discuss reflections
- Provide careers advice
- Monitor and update the trainee's PDP

- Record meeting key discussion points and outcomes using the Educational Meeting form on the ePortfolio
- Record progress against the CiPs by updating the comments in the CiP section of the portfolio (this will make writing the ESR at the end of the year much easier)
- Provide support around other issues that the trainee may be encountering

Transition arrangements for trainees already in programme

The GMC published a new policy statement on the transition of learners to a new curriculum. The policy statement sets out the GMC's requirements for doctors in training who are working towards a CCT to move to the most recent GMC approved curriculum and programme of assessment. The transition should be completed as soon as it is feasibly possible, taking account of patient and trainee safety whilst also balancing the needs of the service. Some cohorts of trainees may experience a greater impact than others and require longer to prepare for the transition. Doctors in their final year of training (pro rata for less

than full time trainees), or for whom it would not be in the interests of patient safety or impractical to support to move to a new curriculum, will normally remain on the curriculum in place prior to the new approval.

JRCPTB has produced guidance for physician trainees on its website here.
For the majority of trainees, it is not expected that the gap analysis will identify any significant gaps related to Gastroenterology training. Luminal stream trainees will be expected to achieve colonoscopy competency before CCT. This may necessitate the provision a period of immersive training to facilitate achievement of this competency.
It is anticipated that requirements for GIM training in the new curriculum may identify gaps which will need to be addressed, but that for most trainees this will be achievable without any additional training time. Trainees on the complex luminal pathway will be expected to achieve colonoscopy competency before CCT.

Annual Review of Competence Progression (ARCP)

Introduction

The ARCP is a procedure for assessing competence annually in all medical trainees across the UK. It is owned by the four Statutory Education Bodies (Health Education England, NHS Education for Scotland, Health Education and Improvement Wales and Northern Ireland Medical & Dental Training Agency) and governed by the regulations in the Gold Guide. The JRCPTB can therefore not alter the way in which an ARCP is run but can provide guidance for trainees and trainers in preparing for it and guide panel members on interpretation of both curricular requirements and the decision aid when determining ARCP outcomes. Although receiving a non-standard ARCP outcome (i.e. anything but an outcome 1 or 6) should not be seen as failure, we know that many trainees are anxious about such an outcome and everything possible should be done to ensure that no trainee inappropriately receives a non-standard outcome.

The ARCP gives the final summative judgement about whether the trainee can progress into the subsequent year of training (or successfully complete training if in the final year). The panel will review the ePortfolio (especially the ES report) in conjunction with the decision aid for the appropriate year. The panel must assure itself that the ES has made the appropriate entrustment decisions for each CiP and that they are evidence based and defensible. The panel must also review the record of trainee experience to ensure that each trainee has completed (or is on track to complete over ensuing years) the various learning experiences mandated in the curriculum.

Gastroenterology trainees will be expected to have achieved independent competency in diagnostic Upper GI Endoscopy by the end of ST5 and to have passed the ESEGH by the time of CCT. Trainees on the complex luminal pathway will also be expected to have achieved competence in colonoscopy.

Gastroenterology training and the ARCP

The change from the tick-box style competencies to the high-level Capabilities in Practice (CiPs) will have a major impact on how trainees are assessed and how they will progress through their ARCPs. It is vital we avoid an increase in trainees failing to achieve a standard ARCP outcome by helping trainees and trainers to prepare for the ARCPs and by stressing to ARCP panels the basis of their assessment. ARCP panel members must ask the question: “Overall, on reviewing the ePortfolio, including the Educational Supervisor report, the Multiple Consultant Reports, the Multi-Source Feedback and (if necessary) other information such as workplace based assessments, reflection etc, is there evidence to suggest that this trainee is safe and capable of progressing to the next stage of training?”

Relationship with Educational Supervisor (ES)

It is vital that the trainee and the ES develop a close working relationship and meet up as soon as possible after the start of training. At that meeting, the ES should discuss how the various curriculum requirements will be met and how evidence will be recorded to ensure that it can be demonstrated that the Capabilities in Practice have been achieved at the appropriate level. This meeting should also result in the production of a Personal Development Plan (PDP) consisting of a number of SMART objectives that the trainee should seek to achieve during that training year. The trainee should meet up with their ES on a number of other occasions during the training year so that the ES can be reassured that appropriate evidence is being accumulated to facilitate production of a valid ES report towards the end of the year and guide the trainee as to further evidence that might be required.

Clinical supervisor (CS)

The trainee should have a Clinical Supervisor for each attachment and once again the trainee should meet up with the CS at the start of the attachment. Similar discussions should be held with the CS as have been held with the ES and once again, a PDP with SMART objectives should be constructed for each attachment. At the end of the attachment, the CS should be well placed to complete a Multiple Consultant Report (MCR). The CS should also document the progress that the trainee has made towards completing all the objectives of the PDP.

The trainee should provide a MCR from each designated CS as they are best placed to provide such a report but in addition should approach other consultants with whom they have had a significant clinical interaction and ask them also to provide a MCR. Throughout the attachment the trainee should be having SLEs completed by both consultants and more senior trainees. The number of SLEs demanded by the decision aid should be regarded as an absolute minimum and additional ones should be sought because

- Although they are formative, not summative assessments, they do provide additional evidence to show that a trainee is acquiring clinical (and generic) capabilities
- They may give the trainee the opportunity to have additional one to one clinical teaching from a senior colleague

- They allow the excuse for trainees to receive targeted and constructive feedback from a senior colleague.

Completing reports

When completing reports, all consultants should do more than just tick a box and make some generic comment such as “good trainee”. It is important that they make meaningful comments about why they have assigned that particular level of performance/behaviour to that particular trainee. In doing this, the descriptors assigned to each CiP should be especially useful as an *aide-memoire*. They should specifically not be used as a tick list that requires a comment for each descriptor but should just allow the senior doctor completing the report to reflect on what comments would be helpful to the ES for completion of their report and to the ARCP panel in determining whether the trainee can progress to the next year of training. Constructive comments are also of course valued by the trainee. It is very helpful if the trainee can have constructive comments if they are progressing along the “normal” trajectory and especially if they are exceeding expectations either globally or in certain areas. If a trainee is performing below expectations, then it is important and mandatory that meaningful, insightful and precise comments are provided.

ARCP preparation

As the ARCP approaches, it is essential that the trainee reviews their ePortfolio and ensures that all requisite information is available in a logical and accessible format. In particular they should ensure that:

- All appropriate certificates have been uploaded to the personal library and are clearly signposted
- An appropriate amount of reflection has been documented
- As a bare minimum (see comments above), the requisite number of SLEs (as demanded by the annual decision aid) has been completed and recorded in the ePortfolio
- MSF has been completed and the results released by the ES. It is critical that appropriate discussion/reflection has occurred and been recorded in response to the MSF
- MCR has been completed by each CS and additional ones have been completed by any supervisor with whom the trainee has had significant clinical/educational interaction
- The trainee has self-rated themselves for each CiP on the curriculum page
- The SMART objectives documented in their PDP have either been achieved fully and the evidence for that achievement has been clearly documented. If any objectives of the PDP have not been fully achieved, then the reasons for that have been clearly documented and evidenced.
- An appointment has been made with their ES to discuss the annual ES report that will inform the ARCP panel

The ES should review the portfolio to ensure that all the above requirements have been met and record a final rating for each CiP on the curriculum page. The ES should meet up with the trainee to discuss the ESR so that there are no surprises.

The ARCP

At the ARCP, the panel should review the ePortfolio and in particular, it should focus on the ESR report but also review the MCRs, the MSF, the PDPs and reflection. It should also reassure itself that all the mandatory courses and exams have been attended/passed. If members of the panel have any concerns that the trainee under review is not eligible for a standard outcome (outcome 1 or outcome 6) then they should examine more detail in the ePortfolio and review more of the SLEs and other subsidiary information.

ARCP Decision Aid for Gastroenterology

Evidence / requirement	Notes	Year 1 (ST4)	Year 2 (ST5)	Year 3 (ST6)	Year 4 (ST7)
Educational supervisor (ES) report	Indicative one per year to cover the training year since last ARCP (up to the date of the current ARCP)	Confirms meeting or exceeding expectations and no concerns	Confirms meeting or exceeding expectations and no concerns	Confirms meeting or exceeding expectations and no concerns	Confirms will meet all requirements needed to complete training
Generic capabilities in practice (CiPs)	Mapped to Generic Professional Capabilities (GPC) framework and assessed using global ratings. Trainees should record self-rating to facilitate discussion with ES. ES report will record rating for each generic CiP	ES to confirm trainee meets expectations for level of training	ES to confirm trainee meets expectations for level of training	ES to confirm trainee meets expectations for level of training	ES to confirm trainee meets expectations for level of training
Specialty capabilities in practice (CiPs)	See grid below of levels expected for each year of training. Trainees must complete self-rating to facilitate discussion with ES. ES report will confirm entrustment level for each CiP	ES to confirm trainee is performing at or above the level expected for all CiPs	ES to confirm trainee is performing at or above the level expected for all CiPs	ES to confirm trainee is performing at or above the level expected for all CiPs	ES to confirm level 4 in all CiPs by end of training
Multiple consultant report (MCR)	Indicative minimum number. Each MCR is completed by a consultant who has supervised the trainee's clinical work. The ES should not complete an MCR for their own trainee	4-6	4-6	4-6	4-6
Multi-source feedback (MSF)	Indicative minimum of 12 raters including 3 consultants and a	1	1	1	1

Evidence / requirement	Notes	Year 1 (ST4)	Year 2 (ST5)	Year 3 (ST6)	Year 4 (ST7)
	mixture of other staff (medical and non-medical). MSF report must be released by the ES and feedback discussed with the trainee before the ARCP. If significant concerns are raised then arrangements should be made for a repeat MSF				
Supervised learning events (SLEs): Acute care assessment tool (ACAT)/ Outpatient care assessment tool (OPCAT)	Indicative minimum number to be carried out by consultants. Trainees are encouraged to undertake more and supervisors may require additional SLEs if concerns are identified. Each ACAT must include a minimum of 5 cases. ACATs should be used to demonstrate global assessment of trainee's performance on take or presenting new patients on ward rounds, encompassing both individual cases and overall performance (eg prioritisation, working with the team). It is not for comment on the management of individual cases	2	2	2	2
Supervised Learning Events (SLEs):	Indicative minimum number to be carried out by consultants. Trainees are encouraged to	6	6	6	6

Evidence / requirement	Notes	Year 1 (ST4)	Year 2 (ST5)	Year 3 (ST6)	Year 4 (ST7)
Case-based discussion (CbD) and/or mini-clinical evaluation exercise (mini-CEX)	undertake more and supervisors may require additional SLEs if concerns are identified. SLEs should be undertaken throughout the training year by a range of assessors. Structured feedback should be given to aid the trainee's personal development and reflected on by the trainee				
Direct Observation of Procedural Skills (DOPS)	See table of procedures below	2	2	2	2
SCE	Can be attempted in ST4 onwards, must be achieved for attainment of CCT	Opportunity to attempt at this stage	Should have attempted at this stage	Should have ideally passed at this stage	Must have passed to obtain CCT
Advanced life support (ALS)		Must have valid ALS	Must have valid ALS	Must have valid ALS	Must have valid ALS
Patient Survey (PS)			Satisfactory		Satisfactory
Quality improvement (QI) project	Project to be assessed with quality improvement project tool (QIPAT), assessed by the educational supervisor	Evidence of participation in audit/QIP.	Evidence of completion of an audit/QIP	Evidence of completion of an audit/QIP	Evidence of completion of an audit/QIP
Teaching attendance	An indicative minimum hours per training year. To be specified at induction	Attendance at 50% of training days or equivalent	Attendance at 50% of training days or equivalent	Attendance at 50% of training days or equivalent	Attendance at 50% of training days or equivalent

Evidence / requirement	Notes	Year 1 (ST4)	Year 2 (ST5)	Year 3 (ST6)	Year 4 (ST7)

Levels to be achieved by the end of each training year and at critical progression points for specialty CiPs
Outline grid of levels expected for Gastroenterology clinical capabilities in practice (CiPs)
Level descriptors
Level 1: Entrusted to observe only – no clinical care
Level 2: Entrusted to act with direct supervision
Level 3: Entrusted to act with indirect supervision
Level 4: Entrusted to act unsupervised

Clinical CiP	ST4	ST5	ST6	ST7	
1. Managing care of gastroenterology and hepatology inpatients	3	3	3	4	CRITICAL PROGRESSION POINT
2. Managing care of gastroenterology and hepatology outpatients	2	3	3	4	
3. Managing care of patients with complex disease across multiple care settings	3	3	3	4	
4. Managing care pathways for patients with suspected and confirmed malignancy	2	2	3	4	
5. The ability to practice diagnostic and therapeutic UGI endoscopy and other practical skills	2	3	3	4	
6. Contributing to the prevention of GI and liver disease	2	2	3	4	
7a. Managing complex problems in luminal gastroenterology	2	2	3	4	
7b. Managing complex problems in hepatology	2	2	3	4	

Critical Progression Points
End ST5 Diagnostic UGI endoscopy sign-off
End ST7 Specialty certificate Examination (ESEGH)

Training programme

The organisation and delivery of postgraduate training is the responsibility of the Health Education England (HEE), NHS Education for Scotland (NES), Health Education and Improvement Wales (HEIW) and the Northern Ireland Medical and Dental Training Agency (NIMDTA) – referred to from this point as 'deaneries'. A training programme director (TPD) will be responsible for coordinating the specialty training programme. In England, the local organisation and delivery of training is overseen by a school of medicine.

Progression through the programme will be determined by the Annual Review of Competency Progression (ARCP) process and the training requirements for each indicative year of training are summarised in the ARCP decision aid (available on the JRCPTB website).

The sequence of training should ensure appropriate progression in experience and responsibility. The training to be provided at each training site is defined to ensure that, during the programme, the curriculum requirements are met and also that unnecessary duplication and educationally unrewarding experiences are avoided.

Trainees will have an appropriate clinical supervisor and a named educational supervisor. The clinical supervisor and educational supervisor may be the same person. It will be best practice for trainees to have an educational supervisor who practises internal medicine for periods of IM stage 2 training. Educational supervisors of IM trainees who do not themselves practise IM must take particular care to ensure that they obtain and consider detailed feedback from clinical supervisors who are knowledgeable about the trainees' IM performance and include this in their educational reports.

The following provides a guide on how training programmes should be focussed in order for trainees to gain the experience and develop the capabilities to the level required.

Ideally, trainees should complete core hepatology in the first two years of training.

Endoscopy training

Endoscopy is a critical part of a Gastroenterologist's practice and acquisition of endoscopic competencies will need to be supported within the demands of other aspects of training. It is recommended that endoscopy training in both upper and lower GI endoscopy should commence early with initial formal induction through attendance at a basic skills course. Early simulation and subsequent early immersive training for limited periods, has been shown to accelerate acquisition of endoscopic competencies, and this is a workstream currently being developed by Endoscopy academies. Training programs are expected to provide trainees with a minimum of

one colonoscopy training list per week and an additional list which could be a service list.

All trainees will be expected to achieve competency sign off for upper GI diagnostic endoscopy by the end of the second training year. Trainees will also be expected to gain competency in common therapeutic endoscopic interventions particularly endoscopic management of upper GI bleeding which is an essential part of a gastroenterologist practice. This will be supported by participation in a GI bleeding rota for an indicative minimum period of 6 months (where possible) during ST6 or ST7. The capabilities will also be achieved through participation in 'registrar of the week' duties and/or regular involvement with daytime emergency bleeding lists, as well as by the optional attendance at approved training courses in therapeutic and GI bleeding endoscopy. Additionally, all luminal stream trainees will be expected to have achieved colonoscopy competency before CCT. Hepatology stream trainees are not expected to continue with colonoscopy training beyond ST5. Where there is delay in acquisition of endoscopic competencies an extension of training time may be offered at the discretion of the ARCP panel.

Delivery

The curriculum will be delivered through a variety of learning experiences and will achieve the capabilities described in the syllabus through a variety of learning methods. There will be a balance of different modes of learning from formal teaching programmes to experiential learning 'on the job'. The proportion of time allocated to different learning methods may vary depending on the nature of the attachment within a rotation.

This section identifies the types of situations in which a trainee will learn.

Work-based experiential learning - The content of work-based experiential learning is decided by the local faculty for education but includes active participation in:

Gastroenterology and Hepatology Outpatient care

The educational objectives of attending clinics are:

- To understand the management of chronic diseases
- Be able to assess a patient in a defined time frame
- To interpret and act on the referral letter to clinic
- To propose an investigation and management plan in a setting different from the acute medical situation
- To review and amend existing investigation plans
- To write an acceptable letter back to the referrer
- To communicate with the patient and where necessary relatives and other health care professionals.

These objectives can be achieved in a variety of settings including hospitals, day care facilities and the community. The clinic might be primarily run by a specialist nurse (or other qualified health care professionals) rather than a consultant physician. After initial induction, trainees will review patients in clinic settings, under direct

supervision. The degree of responsibility taken by the trainee will increase as competency increases. Trainees should see a range of new and follow-up patients and present their findings to their clinical supervisor. Clinic letters written by the trainee should also be reviewed and feedback given.

The number of patients that a trainee should see in each clinic is not defined, neither is the time that should be spent in clinic, but as a guide this should be a minimum of two hours.

Clinic experience should be used as an opportunity to undertake supervised learning events and reflection.

Reviewing patients with consultants
It is important that trainees have an opportunity to present at least a proportion of the patients whom they have admitted to their consultant for senior review in order to obtain immediate feedback into their performance (that may be supplemented by an appropriate WPBA such as an ACAT, mini-CEX or CBD). This may be accomplished when working on a take shift along with a consultant, or on a post-take ward round with a consultant.

Personal ward rounds and provision of ongoing clinical care on specialist medical ward attachments
Every patient seen, on the ward or in outpatients, provides a learning opportunity, which will be enhanced by following the patient through the course of their illness. The experience of the evolution of patients' problems over time is a critical part both of the diagnostic process as well as management. Patients seen should provide the basis for critical reading and reflection on clinical problems.

Ward rounds by more senior doctors
Every time a trainee observes another doctor seeing a patient or their relatives there is an opportunity for learning. Ward rounds (including post-take) should be led by a more senior doctor and include feedback on clinical and decision-making skills.

Multi-disciplinary team meetings
There are many situations where clinical problems are discussed with clinicians in other disciplines. These provide excellent opportunities for observation of clinical reasoning.

Trainees have supervised responsibility for the care of inpatients. This includes day-to-day review of clinical conditions, note keeping, and the initial management of the acutely ill patient with referral to and liaison with clinical colleagues as necessary. The degree of responsibility taken by the trainee will increase as competency increases. There should be appropriate levels of clinical supervision throughout training, with increasing clinical independence and responsibility.

Formal postgraduate teaching
The content of these sessions are determined by the Regional Training Programme Committee and will be based on the curriculum. There are many opportunities throughout the year for formal teaching in the local postgraduate teaching sessions and at regional, national and international meetings. Many of these are organised by the British Society of Gastroenterology.

Suggested activities include:
- a programme of formal bleep-free regular teaching sessions to cohorts of trainees (eg a weekly training hour for IM teaching within a training site)
- case presentations
- research, audit and quality improvement projects
- lectures and small group teaching
- Grand Rounds
- clinical skills demonstrations and teaching
- critical appraisal and evidence-based medicine and journal clubs
- joint specialty meetings
- attendance at training programmes organised on a deanery or regional basis, which are designed to cover aspects of the training programme outlined in this curriculum.
- access to online resources supporting curriculum outcomes
- simulation, focused on technical and non-technical aspects of endoscopy

Learning with peers - There are many opportunities for trainees to learn with their peers. Local postgraduate teaching opportunities allow trainees of varied levels of experience to come together for small group sessions.

Independent self-directed learning
Trainees will use this time in a variety of ways depending upon their stage of learning. Suggested activities include:
- reading, including web-based material such as e-Learning for Healthcare (e-LfH)
- maintenance of personal portfolio (self-assessment, reflective learning, personal development plan)
- audit, quality improvement and research projects
- reading journals
- achieving personal learning goals beyond the essential, core curriculum

Formal study courses
Time to be made available for formal courses is encouraged, subject to local conditions of service. Examples include management and leadership courses and communication courses, which are particularly relevant to patient safety and experience. Gastroenterology trainees will be expected to attend mandatory endoscopy courses in order to complete their endoscopy training.

Mandatory requirements
CiP1: Managing care of Gastroenterology and Hepatology in-patients

Purpose: to demonstrate safe and effective management of specialty patients in an in-patient setting, with a patient focus, leading and working as part of the inter-professional team.
Learning outcomes: will be to demonstrate capabilities in communication with patients and colleagues, diagnostic skills, decision making and managing uncertainty. This will include effective organisational and negotiating skills and working in challenging environments.
Duration: Six months of the first two years of training will normally be spent gaining core Hepatology competencies.

CiP2: Managing care of Gastroenterology and Hepatology out-patients
CiP3: Managing care of patients with complex disease across multiple care settings, including interaction with primary care and community networks
CiP 3Managing care pathways for patients with suspected and confirmed gastrointestinal and hepatic malignancy
Cip6: Contributing to the prevention of gastrointestinal and liver disease

Purpose: to demonstrate safe and effective management of Gastroenterology and Hepatology in-patients and out-patients, working together with patients as well as leading and working as part of the inter-professional team. To apply knowledge and diagnostic skills to the diagnosis of gastrointestinal and HPB cancers and working as part of the multi-disciplinary team.
Learning outcomes: will be to demonstrate capabilities in communication with patients and colleagues, diagnostic skills, decision making and managing uncertainty. This will include effective organisational and negotiating skills and working in challenging environments. Capabilities in health promotion and illness prevention working within secondary care and in liaison with primary care and community services.
Duration: Six months of the first two years of training will normally be spent gaining core Hepatology competencies.

CiP5: The ability to practice diagnostic and therapeutic upper GI endoscopy and in other practical skills undertaken/overseen by a Gastroenterology Consultant

Purpose: to develop competence in assessing patients for endoscopic and other interventional procedures. To achieve competence in undertaking diagnostic and therapeutic endoscopic procedures (as required by JAG or other body). Competence in performing paracentesis and the ability to teach these practical skills to others.
Learning outomes: to deliver safe**,** effective diagnosis and care with appropriate use of these practical procedures
Duration: trainees will be expected to achieve independence in diagnostic Upper GI Endoscopy by the end of ST5 and trainees opting for the ‘complex luminal’ pathway will be expected to achieve independence in colonoscopy by CCT.

Complex CiPs
Contributing to the prevention of gastrointestinal and liver disease
Managing complex problems in hepatology
Purpose: trainees will develop capability in the management of either complex luminal problems in Gastroenterology or Hepatology.
Learning outcomes: 'Complex luminal' trainees will develop capabilities in the management of complex inflammatory bowel disease and undergo further training in nutrition. They will be independent in colonoscopy at CCT
'Complex hepatology' trainees will have gained capabilities in the management of complex issues in decompensated cirrhosis and in referral for and postoperative management of transplant patients.
Duration: the ST6 year will be based predominately in the complex module and trainees will continue with some complex training in their ST7 year alongside core specialty and IM training.

Glossary of abbreviations

ACAT	Acute Care Assessment Tool
ALS	Advanced Life Support
ARCP	Annual Review of Competence Progression
AUT	Acute Unselected Take
CiP	Capabilities in Practice
CbD	Case-based Discussion
CCT	Certificate of Completion of Training
CS	Clinical Supervisor
CBME	Competency Based Medical Education
DME	Director of Medical Education
DOPS	Direct Observation of Procedural Skills
EPA	Entrustable Professional Activity
ES	Educational Supervisor
GPC	Generic Professional Capabilities
GMC	General Medical Council
HoS	Head of School
ICU	Intensive Care Unit
JRCPTB	Joint Royal Colleges of Physicians Training Board
MDT	Multidisciplinary Team
MCR	Multiple Consultant Report
Mini CEX	Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise
MMC	Modernising Medical Careers
MSF	Multi-Source Feedback
NTN	National Training Number
OPCAT	Outpatient Care Assessment Tool
PDP	Professional Development Plan

PS	Patient Survey
SLE	Supervised Learning Event
WPBA	Workplace Based Assessment

JRCPTB

Joint Royal Colleges of Physicians Training Board

ROYAL COLLEGE *of* PHYSICIANS *of* EDINBURGH

ROYAL COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF GLASGOW

Royal College of Physicians